

# Navy Leaders to Meet May 16 to Assess Sub Construction Delays, Columbia Class Schedule, Secretary Tells House Panel



An artist rendering of the future Columbia-class ballistic missile submarine. U.S. Navy leaders will meet with industry officials in May to examine how they can add more space in the tight schedule to build the first of the Columbia-class ballistic missile submarines, Navy Secretary Richard V. Spencer said. U.S. Navy illustration.

U.S. Navy leaders will meet with industry officials in May to examine how they can improve the increasingly challenged submarine

production program and try adding more space in the tight schedule to build the

first of the Columbia-class ballistic missile submarines, Navy Secretary

Richard V. Spencer said April 30.

The Navy would like to increase the production of its Virginia-class attack submarines from two a year to three to stop the decline

in the already inadequate number of attack boats. But that pace is hampered by

the fact that the two shipyards building those boats also are responsible for

getting the Columbia class into service by 2031, when the Navy's Ohio-class

boomers will be unable to continue their crucial strategic deterrence patrols,

Spencer said.

“We do have concerns,” Spencer told the House Appropriations Defense Subcommittee. To address those issues, the Navy will sit down with industry leaders May 16 to assess the sub construction yards and the supply chain and seek to “build in margin where we can” for the Columbia-class schedule.

“If we do not, it will run off the rails,” Spencer said in response to questions from the panel responsible for providing the money the Navy Department will need for all its programs.

In addition to the questions the appropriators had about the Columbia class, the Navy’s self-declared No. 1 procurement priority, the subcommittee’s chairman, Rep. Pete Visclosky (D-Indiana), hounded the Navy leaders on the chronic problems in submarine maintenance and acceptance of new warships with multiple material problems.

Visclosky pointed out that three of the older Los Angeles class attack submarines – Boise, Columbus and Hartford – are no longer certified to submerge because they have not received maintenance that is overdue. He emphasized that Boise was scheduled to go into the repair yard in 2013 but still is waiting for an opening.

And Visclosky was particularly troubled by the Navy failing to request funds to repair the three inoperable submarines in its regular fiscal 2020 budget request but added them to the unfunded requirements list.

Spencer and Chief of Naval Operations Adm. John M. Richardson conceded they were having trouble getting submarines into required maintenance, which was aggravating the inability to meet combatant commanders' requests for the attack boats, with some reports putting the shortfall as high as 50 percent.

The two Navy leaders argued that the submarine maintenance problem stemmed from the sharp reduction in funding during the years when the Budget Control Act forced sequestration.

But Visclosky replied that "sequestration happened some time ago" and Congress "provided a lot of money" the last two years.

Spencer said the shipyards cut their skilled work force during the lean years and are now working to replace those workers and improve their aged facilities. He and Richardson emphasized the Navy's program to modernize the government-owned shipyards and to incentivize the private yards to also update and expand.

Visclosky also demanded the Navy provide details on the problem highlighted in a recent Government Accountability Office report showing a long list of new ships the Navy has accepted from the builders with a range of deficiencies. He stressed the aircraft carrier Gerald R. Ford (CVN-78), the first in its class of aircraft carriers, is not expected to be operational until 2023, nearly five years later than expected because of numerous

construction deficiencies.

The chairman wanted to know how the cost of correcting those flaws was divided between the Navy and its contractors, noting that GAO indicated the government has been paying 96 percent. Spencer promised to provide the data.